This article was downloaded by: On: *29 January 2011* Access details: *Access Details: Free Access* Publisher *Taylor & Francis* Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



To cite this Article Makedonopoulou, Stella , Tulinsky, Alexander and Mavridis, Irene M.(1999) 'The Dimeric Complex of Beta-Cyclodextrin with 1,13-tridecanedioic acid', Supramolecular Chemistry, 11: 1, 73 — 81 To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/10610279908048718 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10610279908048718

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doese should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

SUPRAMOLECULAR CHEMISTRY, Vol. 11, pp. 73-81 Reprints available directly from the publisher Photocopying permitted by license only

The Dimeric Complex of Beta-Cyclodextrin with 1,13-tridecanedioic acid

STELLA MAKEDONOPOULOU^a, ALEXANDER TULINSKY^b and IRENE M. MAVRIDIS^{a, *}

^a Institute of Physical Chemistry, NCSR "Demokritos", 153 10 Aghia Paraskevi, Athens, Greece; ^b Department of Chemistry, Michigan State University, E. Lansing, Michigan 48824-1322, USA

(Received 29 July 1998; In final form 17 May 1999)

The structure of the complex of beta cyclodextrin (βCD) with 1,13-tridecanedioic acid has been determined at low temperature. The compound crystallizes in P1, a = 18.207(6), b = 15.510(5), c = 15.280(6) Å, $\alpha = 103.02(3)$, $\beta = 113.13(3)$, $\gamma = 99.79(3)^{\circ}$ and $D_c = 1.339 \text{ gcm}^{-3}$ for Z=1. Refinement based on 13134 observed MoK α reflections led to a final R = 0.076. The diacid molecules thread through two β CD arranged in dimers thus, forming [3]pseudorotaxanes. The aliphatic chain of the guest has a few weak, non-bonded interactions with the host inside the long hydrophobic cavity. However, the end carboxyl groups form several strong H-bonds with the solvent in a fashion similar to other β CD/ carboxylic acid complexes that have similar building blocks as the present one: β CD dimers and carboxylic groups of the guest emerging from their two primary faces. Conversely, in the corresponding complexes with aliphatic monocarboxylic acids the carboxyl groups form carboxylic dimers because they are isolated from the environment inside hydrophobic channels.

Keywords: Beta-cyclodextrin, 1,13-tridecanedioic acid, dimer, inclusion complex, [3]pseudorotaxane

INTRODUCTION

The title system exhibits the threading of a long aliphatic dicarboxylic acid, 1,13-tridecanedioic acid, through two β -cyclodextrin (β CD) macrocycles associated via H-bonds to form a [3]pseudorotaxane. Rotaxanes [1] belong to a class of supramolecular compounds in which a dumbbell-shaped molecule has been threaded through a macrocycle with bulky stopper groups added to the thread's extremities to prevent its unthreading, while pseudorotaxanes differ in that they lack the stopper groups. Cyclodextrins (CDs) [2], cyclic oligosaccharides consisting of six or more α -1,4 linked D-glucopyranose units are obvious and readily available starting materials to be used as macrocycles in the assembly of the above class of compounds [3], because they possess a hydrophobic cavity that can enclose a plethora of guest compounds. The presence of the stopper groups in rotaxanes provides a stable association via a so-called mechanical bond, while in pseudorotaxanes unthreading is possible [1]. However, [3] pseudorotaxanes of α

Downloaded At: 15:40 29 January 2011

^{*}Corresponding author.

and β CD with long aliphatic compounds, like the present one, have been detected in aqueous environment by NMR [4], a fact indicating that they are quite stable in water solutions. The stabilization is due to numerous non-bonding interactions of the guest's aliphatic part in the elongated cavity formed by the two β CD macrocycles, supplemented by possible H-bonds between the guest's polar end groups and the host's primary hydroxyl groups and/or the water environment.

The present investigation is one in a series of studies of inclusion complexes of β CD dimers with long aliphatic mono and diacids in order to determine how the nature of the guest influences the crystal packing. Association of two β CD monomers to form a dimer is very common among β CD inclusion complexes [5]. The dimer's overall shape resembles a barrel with two open faces lined by the primary hydroxyl groups of the β CD monomers (primary faces). The β CD dimer is an entity that keeps its integrity irrespective of the nature of the guest. Thus, it forms a long cavity that is hydrophobic even in the region where the two monomers meet (intradimer region) and its exterior forms a semi-invariant network of H-bonds, which connect it to other neighboring dimers either directly or through water molecules. The result is formation of infinite 2-D layers [6] of the same overall geometry for all dimeric β CD inclusion complexes determined so far. The influence of the guest on the surface of the dimer is limited only to the primary faces. Therefore, the class of dimeric β CD complexes is a suitable system to study crystal engineering because it is easy to introduce small changes at a specific surface of the building block, namely the primary faces of the β CD dimer, by simply changing the guest included inside the cavity.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The inclusion complex of 1,13-tridecanedioic acid in β -cyclodextrin forms a [3]pseudorotax-

ane composed of a dimer of β CD through which a molecule of the long acid, disordered over two sites, has been threaded. Crystallographic data are summarized in Table I. Final atomic coordinates and thermal parameters of the nonhydrogen atoms are available from the author. The numbering scheme for the host and guest molecules is given in Figure 1; C(A or B) mn and O(A or B) *mn* denote the *m*th atom within the *n*th glucosidic residue (Gn) of the crystallographically independent β CD molecules A and B. The dimer's 7-fold axis forms an angle of 20° with the a axis (Fig. 2) therefore, the dimers do not stack on top of each other to form channels. The packing belongs to the intermediate packing mode [5] of β CD dimeric complexes with the centers of consecutive dimers laterally shifted by 6.2 Å. Consequently, the carboxylic groups of the guest are displaced about 7.5 Å and do not interact directly.

Geometry of the Host

The pyranose rings have the ${}^{4}C_{1}$ conformation with the gauche-gauche orientation for the primary hydroxyl groups as shown in Table II, except for residue G2 and G6 (disordered site OC66) of the β CD molecule A and G6 of the β CD molecule B. The same table also shows that β CD molecules possess near seven-fold symmetry. The values of the angle between the glucosidic oxygen atoms O4n do not differ significantly from 128.57°, the angle of the regular heptagon, and the deviations of the O4n atoms from their optimum plane are very close to zero, while the glucose units tilt very regularly towards the 7-fold axis. The conformation of each β CD macrocycle, as in all β CD dimeric complexes [5], is stabilized through intramolecular hydrogen bonds connecting the O3n and O2(n+1) atoms of neighboring glucosidic units (average $O3n \dots O2(n+1)$ distances 2.78 Å, range 2.72-2.83 Å) and the angles C3n-O3n... O2(n+1) (average 116.8°, range 112.7-121.4°) and O3n...O2(n+1) - C2(n+1) (average 119.2°

TABLE I Crystal data and structure refinement

Molecular formula	$(C_{42}H_{70}O_{35})_2 \cdot C_{13}H_{24}O_2 \cdot (H_2O)_{24.5} \cdot (C_2H_6O)_2$
Formula weight	3015.84
Temperature	173 K
Radiation/Wavelength	0.71069 Å
Space group	P1
a, α	$a = 18.207(6)$ Å, $\alpha = 103.02(3)^{\circ}$
b . β	$b = 15.510(5)$ Å, $\beta = 113.13(3)^{\circ}$
ς, γ	$c = 15.280(6) \text{ Å}, \gamma = 99.79(3)^{\circ}$
Volume/Z	3701(2)Å ³ /1
Density (calculated)	$1.339 \mathrm{Mg/m^3}$
2θ range for data collection	0°52°
Index ranges	$-22 \le h \le 22, -19 \le k \le 0, -18 \le l \le 18$
Reflections collected/sindependent	13163/13134
Solution method	Isomorphous molecular replacement [10]
Refinement method	Full matrix least squares on F^2
Data/restraints/parameters	13134/68/1891
Goodness of fit on F ²	1.023
Final R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	$R1 = 0.076, wR_2 = 0.204$
R indices (all data)	$R1 = 0.094, wR_2 = 0.226$
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.61 and $-0.41 \mathrm{e} \mathrm{\AA}^{-3}$



FIGURE 1 Atom numbering scheme (a) for host and (b) for guest atoms. Displacement ellipsoids (a) are plotted at the 4% level.



FIGURE 2 The packing of the dimeric complex molecules. Diacids shown bold.

Residue	D ^a (Å)	φ ^b (0)	d ^c (Å)	α ^d (°)	D3 ^e (Å)	Torsion angle ^(°) C4n – C5n – C6n – O6n
Molecule A						
G1	4.36	124.5	- 0.010(4)	85.5(2)	2.78	66.0(9)
G2	4.51	132.8	0.016(4)	84.0(2)	2.81	-175.2(9)
G3	4.26	129.1	-0.030(4)	85.8(2)	2.79	54.9(9)
G4	4.38	125.1	0.045(4)	79.7(2)	2.83	54.1(9)
G5	4.35	129.7	- 0.045(4)	85.5(2)	2.77	56.4(8)
G6	4.47	131.2	0.025(4)	82.7(2)	2.80	40.6(13)
						-174.7(11)
G7	4.31	127.6	-0.002(4)	85.7(2)	2.72	56.0(9)
Molecule B						.,
G1	4.42	127.3	- 0.022(4)	84.9(2)	2.80	62.4(9)
G2	4.41	131.3	0.004(4)	83.1(2)	2.82	57.5(9)
G3	4.29	126.1	0.028(4)	84.7(2)	2.78	54.2(8)
G4	4.42	128.6	- 0.043(4)	82.6(2)	2.79	54.1(9)
G5	4.32	129.6	0.029(4)	85.7(2)	2.79	57.1(9)
G6	4.38	128.9	-0.013(4)	80.4(2)	2.75	- 176.9(9)
G7	4.31	128.1	0.016(4)	86.4(2)	2.76	55.2(9)

TABLE II β -Cyclodextrin macrocycle characteristics

^a Distances between atoms $O4n \dots O4(n+1)$.

^b Angles between atoms $O4(n-1) \dots O4n \dots O4(n+1)$.

^c Deviations (Å) from the least-squares optimum plane of the seven O4n atoms.

^d Dihedral angle between the O4n plane and optimum planes through C2n, C3n, C5n and O5n.

^e Intramolecular hydrogen-bond distances between atoms $O3n \dots O2(n+1)$.

range 115.4–123.4°) lie within the normal values for H-bonds. The β CD dimer is formed via OA3n...OB3(8–n) H-bonds with an average distance 2.79 Å (range 2.72–2.88 Å). The angles CA3n–OA3n...OB3(8–n) and OA3n...OB3 (8–n)–CB3(8–n) have mean values 117.7° (range 115.6–120.8°) and 118.8° (range 115.2– 121.1°), respectively.

H-Bonding Network and Solvent Molecules

There are 7 direct H-bonds among hydroxyl groups of adjacent host dimers (Tab. IIIa), of which six bind hydroxyl groups in the 2-D layer semiinvariant for all packing modes of dimeric complexes: three along the c axis, OA63...OA67, OB61...OB65 and OA27...OB25 and three along the b axis, OA65...OA61, OB63...OB67 and OA25...OB27. Only one direct H-bond is formed between different layers OA62...OB62.

There are 24.5 water molecules in the asymmetric unit distributed over 42 sites around the β CD dimer and 35 of them are within H-

bonding distance from oxygen atoms of the hydroxy groups as shown in Table IIIb. The water sites have been labeled by the number of the closest oxygen atom to which they H-bond. It is assumed that distances 2.50-3.14 Å and angles C-O...O(water) of 90-139° indicate H-bonding. The angle range, although quite wide, is justifiable because of the usual disorder accompanying water molecules and the inability to locate their H-atoms. It has been suggested [5,6] that there exist separate, segregated water networks linking the primary or secondary hydroxy groups in the crystals of dimeric β CD inclusion complexes. In general, this is true for the water molecules of the present structure, although some deviations are observed *i.e.*, water molecules W22A, W23A₁, W23A₂, W32A attributed to secondary hydroxy groups are also H-bonded to water molecules associated with primary hydroxy groups.

Two ethanol molecules, disordered over two orientations each, are also found in the crystal lattice. One is located in the primary region and is taking part in H-bonding the guests (Tab. IIIb).

a. Direct Hydrogen-bonds between dimers										
OmnOm'n'	Distance (Å) OmnOmn'		Angle ^(o) Cmn-OmnOm	ı' Om	Angle ^(o) OmnOmn' – Cmn'					
OA27OB25		2.78	113		109					
OA63OA67		2.77	127		108					
OB61 0B65		2.84	128		110					
OA25 OB27		2.79	115		102					
OR63 OB67		2.77	129		102					
0465 0461		2.77	120		109					
OA62OB62		2.70	104		129					
	h Hydrogen-bor	nds hetween BCD	dimers and water or ethan	ol molecules						
	D. Hydrogen boi		Children of children	D' I						
Molecule A	(Å)	Angle (°)	Molecule B	Distance (Å)	Angle (°)					
OA21W21A ₁	2.68	107	$OB21 \dots W21B_1$	2.67	109					
W21A ₂	2.87	91	W21B ₂	2.95	108					
OA22W22A	2.79	105	OB22W22B	2.84	109					
OA23W23A1	2.74	115	OB23W23B	2.46	107					
W23A2	2.92	113	W23B ₂	2.83	109					
W21B	3.09	115								
0424 W244	2 74	101	OB24 W24B	2.67	98					
ΩΔ26 W26Δ.	2.74	101	OB26 W26B	2.69	99					
W/26A	2.70	07	W20D	2.07	170					
() A 22 W/22 A	2.78	9/ 116		5.15	129					
UA32 W32A1	2.90	110								
W32A2	3.02	139	0004 14/000	0.70	174					
OA34 WZZA	2.68	118	OB34 W22B	2.72	124					
$OA35W21A_1$	2.92	118	OB35W21B ₂	2.62	126					
			W21B ₁	2.89	122					
OA36W24A	2.69	117	OB36W24B	2.82	118					
			$OB37W23B_1$	3.12	117					
OA61W61A1	2.68	113	OB61W61B	2.76	101					
W61A ₂	2.73	100	W64B	2.76	118					
OA62W67B	2.76	107	$OB62W61A_1$	2.73	99					
OA63W63A1	2.71	118	OB63W67A	2.77	105					
W63A ₂	2.82	107								
OA64W64A	2.69	114	OB64W64B	2.75	106					
W64A2	2.90	121	W61A ₂	3.08	97					
W61A	2.71	107	OA1	2.91	128					
QA65 W65A	2 74	123	OB65 W65B	2.71	122					
0466 W664	2.81	123	OB66 W66B	2.68	123					
	2.01	127	082	2.84	98					
1 WOUL2	3.00	127		2.04	50					
	2.72	114								
CCOD WODA3	2.82									
W66A4	2.93		·							
W66A5	3.14									
W66B	3.02									
OA67W67A	2.74	120	OB67W67B	2.71	123					
	c. Hydrogen bonds be	ween guest's carb	oxylic groups and water o	r ethanol molect	ules					
Molecule A side	Distance (Å)	Angle (°)	Molecule B side	Distance (Å)	Angle (°)					
OH1W4	2.76	116	OH3W61B	2.78	128					
W66A-	2.36ª	147	W66A	2.96	130					
			W66A.	2.33ª	131					
OH2 W644.	2 08	152	OH4 OR3	2.55	117					
OC1 W/5	2.70	100	OC2 W/41B	2.55	117					
1414	2.00	112	(A35 9901D (AD2	2.70	124					
984	2.00	112		3.07	120					
UGZ W64A1	2.72	125	004W66A5	2.20-	152					
			W66A ₆	2.67	156					

TABLE III Hydrogen bonds of the β CD-1,13-tridecanedioic acid complex

 $^{\rm a}$ Since the occupancies of guests G or H, W66A_3 and W66A_5 are 50%, the molecules might not coexist.

Guest Geometry and Interactions with Its Environment

Both orientations of the guest have a bent in the middle of the aliphatic chain (Fig. 2). This is due to the width of the β CD cavity, particularly in the interdimer region, since in analogous inclusion complexes of α -cyclodextrin [7] the aliphatic chain is not disordered and it has a regular zig-zag shape. Because of the bend, some very weak CH...O interactions (C...O distances in

the range of 3.6-4.0 Å) are observed between the methylene H-atoms and the glucosidic oxygen atoms.

The end carboxy groups of the guest form multiple H-bonds (Tab. IIIc). The disordered carboxy groups G and H of two consecutive guest molecules are connected by H-bond networks as shown in Figure 3. It is noteworthy that the only disordered primary hydroxyl group, OC66, with the orientation toward the interior of



FIGURE 3 Detail of the hydrogen-bonding around the carboxyl groups in the interface of two layers of dimers. OC66 is the position of the only disordered primary hydroxyl group of β CD.

the cavity, is participating in the above networks, thus justifying the disorder.

The end carboxy groups far apart, fully solvated associate indirectly through water molecules with each other. This is in contrast to corresponding β CD inclusion complexes of long aliphatic monoacids [8] with 12-16 carbon atoms. Crystal structures of the latter show that β CD dimers enclosing one molecule of the guest also form [3]pseudorotaxanes, that pack one on top of the other and form infinite hydrophobic channels, inside of which the carboxylic ends, having no opportunity to get hydrated, form carboxylic dimers. The driving force of the channel formation is not the tendency of the carboxy group to form dimers but the hydrophobic terminal methyl groups that require a hydrophobic environment [8]. On the other hand, carboxylic acids of shorter length, like nonanoic acid [9] or 4-tert-butylbenzoic acid [10], form β CD complexes with a host:guest ratio of 2:2 in which the carboxyl groups emerge from the primary faces of the dimer. Having building blocks of the same overall shape as the present structure, they consequently have the same packing and form isomorphous crystals.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparation and Crystallization of the Complex

The complex was prepared by adding 1,13tridecanedioic acid to an aqueous solution of β CD, to a host/guest ratio 1 : 1. The precipitate was redissolved in a mixture of water and ethanol at 70°C and was allowed to return to room temperature over a period of 7 days at the end of which colorless crystals were formed.

X-ray Structural Analysis

The crystals were colorless plates exhibiting twinning but it was possible to obtain a single crystal by separating the plates into thinner ones. A single crystal of dimensions $0.6 \times 0.3 \times$

0.1 mm covered with oil (Exxon's Paratone N) was mounted on a thin glass fiber and instantly frozen at 173 K. Data were collected at that temperature from a Rigaku four-circle diffractometer using Mo-Ka radiation ($\lambda = 0.71069$ Å). The cell dimensions were obtained by least-squares analysis of 20 reflections in the range of $8^{\circ} < 2\theta < 20^{\circ}$. A hemisphere of data was collected by a $2\theta - \omega$ scan technique to $2\theta \approx 52^{\circ}$ with a speed of 4.0° /min. Three standard reflections, monitored every 150 reflections, showed no decay in intensity during data collection.

The structure was solved using the coordinates of the isomorphous inclusion complex of β CD with 4-tert-butylbenzoic acid [10]. The starting coordinates were those of the glucosidic skeleton. The remainder of the host atoms, followed by the solvent molecules were found by consecutive difference Fourier calculations. The structure refined isotropically by full-matrix least-squares (SHELX97) [11] to an R-factor 13.1%. One oxygen atom from the primary side of the β CD cavity was found to be disordered. At this point, a difference Fourier map revealed the guest atoms. Four distinct lobes appeared to be the positions of the carboxylic oxygen atoms in each side of the cyclodextrin cavity indicating a disordered guest molecule. Chain atoms were also found but the resulting geometries were distorted because some atoms in the two models of the guest were located very close. Thus, geometries were optimized by fitting in the difference Fourier map using the graphics program O [12]. All host atoms were assigned anisotropic thermal parameters and calculated hydrogen atoms with temperature factors 1.2 of the corresponding β CD atoms to which they were bonded. Then a full matrix least-squares refinement followed to a final R factor of 0.0764. Toward the end, the coordinates of the guest were subjected to constrained refinement, where all guest atoms were left isotropic and calculated hydrogen atoms were added to the carbon atoms as before, while one peak located 1.0 Å away from a carboxyl oxygen atom was considered as a hydrogen atom. The occupancy factors of guest molecules G and H were refined to 52% and 48%, respectively.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. D. Ward, Chemistry Department, Michigan State University for his assistance during data collection. S. M. and I. M. M. thank the General Secretariat of Research and Technology, Greece for financial support of this work under the program PENED.

References

- Amabilino, D. B. and Stoddart, J. F. (1995). Chem. Rev., 95, 2725.
- [2] (a) Saenger, W. (1980). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 19, 344;
 (b) Wentz, G. (1994). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 33, 803.

- [3] Philp, D. and Stoddart, J. F. (1996). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 35, 1154.
- [4] (a) Botsi, A., Yannakopoulou, K., Perly, B. and Had joudis, E. (1995). J. Org. Chem., 60, 4017; (b) Eliadou, K., Yannakopoulou, K., Rontoyianni, A. and Mavridis, I. M. J. Org. Chem., in print.
- [5] Mentzafos, D., Mavridis, I. M., Le Bas, G. and Tsoucaris, G. (1991). Acta Cryst., B47, 746.
- [6] Le Bas, G. and Tsoucaris, G. (1994). Supramol. Chem., 4, 13.
- [7] Rontoyianni, A. and Mavridis, I. M. (1999). Supramol. Chem., 10, 213-218.
- [8] Makedonopoulou, S., Mavridis, I. M., Yannakopoulou, K. and Papaioannou, J. (1988). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., pp. 2133-2134.
- [9] Rontoyianni, A. and Mavridis, I. M. (1996). Acta Cryst., C52, 2277.
- [10] Rontoyianni, A., Mavridis, I. M., Hadjoudis, E. and Duisenberg, A. J. M. (1994). Carbohydr. Res., 252, 19.
- [11] Sheldrick, G. M., SHELXL97 1997 Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingeng, Germany.
- [12] Jones, T. A. and Kjeldgaad, M. (1993). "O" version 5.9.